

Representation of Preference Relations

S MODICA
DEC 2008

1

From: Kreps & KLST.

A binary relation \succsim on X is a subset of X^2 ; we write $x \succsim y$ if $(x, y) \in \succsim$. It is transitive if $x \succsim y \& y \succsim z \Rightarrow x \succsim z$, and complete if $\forall x, y \in X$ at least one of $x \succsim y$, $y \succsim x$ holds. A preference relation [2] is a transitive, complete (\succsim) relation (aka Weak Order).

We write $x \succ y$ if $x \succsim y \& y \not\succsim x$, and $x \succsim y$ if $x \succ y \& \text{not } y \succ x$. Note that \succ and \succsim are binary rel.

A binary relation E on X is an equivalence relation if it is reflexive ($x E x$), transitive and symmetric ($x E y \Rightarrow y E x$). Let $E(x) = \{y : y E x\}$. [1]

Exercise 1 A family $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ of subsets of X is a partition if $\bigcup_i X_i = X$ and $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset \forall i \neq j$:

Let E be an equivalence relation on X and let $X/E = \{E(x) : x \in X\}$. Show that X/E is a partition of X . \square

Starting from pref. rel. \succsim , set $\tilde{X} = X/\succsim$. Note that letting $\tilde{x} = \sim(x)$, it is $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x} : x \in X\}$, and define $\tilde{\succsim}$ on \tilde{X} by setting $\tilde{x} \tilde{\succsim} \tilde{y}$ iff $x \succsim y$. This is well defined because $\forall u \in \tilde{x}, v \in \tilde{y}$ it is $u \succsim v \Leftrightarrow x \succsim y$.

An order is a pref. rel. R s.t. $x R y \& y R x \Rightarrow x = y$. It is a rank with no ties.

Exercise 2 Show that if \succsim is a pref. rel., $\tilde{\succsim}$ is an order.

[1] Obg. If \succsim is a preference, the rel. \sim is an equiv. rel.
In the notation just introduced, $\sim(x) = \{y : y \sim x\}$.

[2] Example: \geq on \mathbb{R} .

A binary relation R on X is represented by

$$v: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ if } x R y \Leftrightarrow v(x) \geq v(y).$$

Lemma 1 A pref \succsim has a representation if \succsim has one.

Proof. let $\tilde{u}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represent \succsim ; then the $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $u(x) = \tilde{u}(\tilde{x})$ represents \succsim . \square

We want to show that preferences have representations; by ex. 2 \succsim are orders, so if orders have representations, Lemma 1 gives result. We then concentrate on orders in the next three propositions dealing with X finite, countable and uncountable.

Obs For any binary rel \succsim on X , showing $x \succsim y$ $\Leftrightarrow u(x) \geq u(y)$ amounts to showing $x \succsim y \Rightarrow ux \geq uy$ and $x \succ y \Rightarrow ux > uy$.

Prop 1 An order on finite X has a representation.

Pf let \succsim be the order and let $u(x) = \#\{z: x \succsim z\}$ (where $\#A =$ number of elements in A). Then u represents \succsim : if $x \succsim y$ then $y \succsim z \Rightarrow x \succsim z$ so $ux \geq uy$; if $x \succ y$ then $\{z: x \succsim z\} \supseteq \{z: y \succsim z\} \cup \{x\} \supsetneq \{z: y \succsim z\}$ so $ux > uy$. \square

Prop 2 An order on countable X has a representation.

Pf let \succsim be the order and $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Define $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ inductively: $u(x_1) = 0$, and given u on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ define $u(x_{n+1})$ as follows:

- if $x_{n+1} \succsim x_k \quad \forall k=1, \dots, n$ set $u(x_{n+1}) = n$
- if $x_{n+1} \prec x_k \quad \forall k=1, \dots, n$ set $u(x_{n+1}) = -n$
- o/w $\exists i, j$ s.t. $x_i \prec x_{n+1} \prec x_j$ and $\forall k=1, \dots, n$
 $x_k \leq x_i$ or $x_k \succ x_j$; set $u(x_{n+1}) = \frac{u(x_i) + u(x_j)}{2}$. [1]

[1] Any finite ordered set has 'best' and 'worst' elements, by induction (as on \mathbb{R} ordered by \geq).

[3]

Since $X = \cup_n \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ it suffices to show that μ represents \succ on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \forall n$. By induction. True for $n=1$; assume true for n and consider $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$. It is (by induction) $-n < u(x_k) < n \ \forall k=1, \dots, n$ so μ reflects pref. by construction if $x_{n+1} \succ x_k$ or $x_{n+1} \prec x_k \ \forall k=1, \dots, n$; otherwise if $x_{n+1} \simeq x_k$ it is $x_k \leq x_i$, by ind. hypothesis $u(x_k) \leq u(x_i)$, and by construction $u(x_{n+1}) > u(x_i)$; analogous if $x_{n+1} \prec x_k$. \square

For next prop. we need an extra condition.
A set $Z \subseteq X$ is dense (in \succ) if $\forall x \succ y \ \exists z \in Z$ s.t. $x \succ z \succ y$. (think of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R}).

Prop 3 An order on uncountable X has a representation if it has a finite or countable dense set $Z \subseteq X$.

Obs this prop. contains the previous two, where $Z = X$.

Pf. Let $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots\} \subseteq X$ be dense in the order \succ , and let $r(z_n) = 2^{-n}$. For $x \in X$ define $\bar{Z}(x) = \{z : z \succ x\}$, $\underline{Z}(x) = \{z : x \succ z\}$, and μ by

$$\mu(x) = \sum_{z \in \underline{Z}(x)} r(z) - \sum_{z \in \bar{Z}(x)} r(z).$$

If $x \succ x'$ clearly $\underline{Z}(x) \supseteq \underline{Z}(x')$ and $\bar{Z}(x) \subseteq \bar{Z}(x')$ so $\mu(x) \geq \mu(x')$. If $x \succ x' \ \exists z \in Z$ with $x \succ z \succ x'$ and $x \succ z$ or $z \succ x'$ so $\bar{Z}(x) \subsetneq \bar{Z}(x')$ or $\underline{Z}(x) \supsetneq \underline{Z}(x')$ whence $\mu(x) > \mu(x')$. \square

Lemma 2 If a binary relation on X has a representation, it is a preference with a finite or countable dense $Z \subseteq X$.

Proof. Transitivity and completeness follows elementarily using the same properties of \succ on \mathbb{R} . For uncountable X ,

14

existence of the dense subset goes as follows:
 That $\pi_e(X)$ contains at most a countable set of 'holes'
 (intervals $[e(x), e(x_1)]$ with no image inside); put
 the extreme of these holes in \mathbb{Z} ; the rest of \mathbb{R} is filled
 up, and the counterimages of rationals make the rest
 of \mathbb{Z} . \square

Putting together the results so far, we obtain

Theorem A binary relation on X has a representation
 iff it is a preference with a countable dense set.

Example lexicographic order on $X = \mathbb{R}^2$:

$(x, y) \succ (x', y')$ if $x > x'$ or $x = x'$ and $y \geq y'$.

Suppose $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represents it. For each x take
 rational $r(x) \in (u(x, 0), u(x, 1))$. Then for $x < x'$
 $r(x) < u(x, 1) < u(x', 0) < r(x')$ so r strictly
 increases, hence establishes 1-1 correspondence between \mathbb{R} and
 a subset of \mathbb{R} , contradiction.

Exercise 3 lexico has no countable dense subset.